

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/650,584	08/30/2000	Howard N. Straub	RES-101A	9208	
75	90 09/30/2003				
Thomas M. Saunders Brown Rudnick BERLACK ISRAELS			EXAMINER		
ONE fINANCI.			BUI, V	BUI, VY Q	
18TH FLOOR Boston, MA 0	2111		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•			3731		
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	i
Office Action Summary		09/650,584 STRAUB, HOWARD N		
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Vy Q. Bui	3731	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address	_ •
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mad d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, ma eply within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6) I tute, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	<u> 5 October 2002</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for formal er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	matters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
•	on of Claims	ion		
/	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applicat			•
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn nom consideration.		
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or election requirement		
-	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	or election requirement.		
	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
·—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		by the Examiner.	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
, —	If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received	n Application No	
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a limited of the company of the control of the control of the control of the certified of the control of the certified of the control of the certified of the certified of the certified of the certified copies of the paper of the certified copies of the paper of the certified copies of the paper of t	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	1)).	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			n).
a) The translation of the foreign language	provisional application ha	s been received.	,
· ·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	esuc priority under 35 U.S	5.0. 33 120 and/or 121.	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/650,584

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-17, drawn to a torsion resistant scleral-tensioning stent, classified in class 623, subclass 1.15.
- II. Claim 18, drawn to a method of chronically increasing ocular fluid drainage, classified in class 606, subclass 905.
- II. Claims 18-19, drawn to a method of chronically increasing ocular fluid drainage, classified in class 606, subclass 905.
- III. Claims 20 and 22, drawn to a method of chronic glaucoma palliation, classified in class 606, subclass 905.
- IV. Claim 21, drawn to a method of chronic presbyopia palliation, classified in class 606, subclass 905.
- Claim 23, drawn to a method of astigmatism, classified in class 606, subclass 905.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II-V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the

Application/Control Number: 09/650,584

Art Unit: 3731

process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used as a wedge in a bone structure.

Inventions II-V, each is distinct to another because each is directed to a different method of treatment a different disease of an eye.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains product claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1: Fig. 1.

Species 2: Fig. 21.

Species 3: Fig. 5.

Species 4: Fig. 6.

Species 5: Fig. 7a.

Species 6: Fig. 7c.

Species 7: Fig. 8.

Species 8: Fig. 12.

Species 9: Fig. 13.

Species 10: Fig. 14.

Art Unit: 3731

Species 11: Fig. 15.

Species 12: Fig. 16.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3731

A telephone call was made to the applicant's attorney on 9/27/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 703-306-3420. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J Milano can be reached on 703-308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Vy Q. Bui

9/27/2003.